Privacy-preserving tabular data generation: Systematic Literature Review

Pablo Sanchez-Serrano, Ruben Rios and Isaac Agudo

Network, Information and Security (NICS) Lab, Universidad de Málaga

19th International DPM Workshop on Data Privacy Management, 2024





Agenda

Introduction

- SLR methodology
- Tabular data generative models
- Conclusions





The Need to Share



- The sharing of this data raises **privacy concerns**
- Traditional privacy techniques include:
 - k-anonymity
 - Differential Privacy
 - •





MAI

Generative models

- Generative models have emerged as a **way to ensure the privacy** of tabular data
- Generative models generate synthetic data from real datasets
 - Images, video, text, tabular data, network traffic, etc
- There are many types of generative models:
 - Variational Autoencoders (VAEs)
 - Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)
 - Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)





Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

- Two neural networks are trained in a zero-sum game setting:
 - Generator (G): generates fake data that imitates a given dataset
 - **Discriminator (D)**: attempts to differentiate between real data samples and fake data samples generated by the generator

DE MAL



Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)

- There are several types of GANs
 - **Conditional** GANs: A condition can control the data generation process
 - Deep Convolutional GANs

•

• GANs can also be used to generate tabular data





- When dealing with synthetic datasets, there are significant differences in the amount of knowledge and access available to different users
 - A range of privacy challenges need to be considered

k, information and security La





- When dealing with synthetic datasets, there are significant differences in the amount of knowledge and access available to different users
 - A range of privacy challenges need to be considered

work, information and security Lab





- When dealing with synthetic datasets, there are significant differences in the amount of knowledge and access available to different users
 - A range of privacy challenges need to be considered







- When dealing with synthetic datasets, there are significant differences in the amount of knowledge and access available to different users
 - A range of privacy challenges need to be considered

ork, information and security Lak





- When dealing with synthetic datasets, there are significant differences in the amount of knowledge and access available to different users
 - A range of privacy challenges need to be considered



A single synthetic dataset can leak information!





Agenda

Introduction

- SLR methodology
- Tabular data generative models
- Conclusions





























UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA













SLR: What questions do we want to answer?

- We aim to answer the following questions:
 - What are the main **techniques** used to guarantee **privacy** in generative models for tabular data?
 - How can we **measure the privacy** of generative models for tabular data?
- Following the PICOC approach, we came out with this query

("Tabular data" OR "Database" OR "Dataset") **AND** ("Privacy techniques" OR "Data masking" OR "Differential privacy" OR "Masked data" OR "Privacy approach" OR "Privacy methods" OR "Privacy-preserving" OR "k-anonymity" OR "Idiversity" OR "t-closeness") **AND** ("Generative model" OR "Data synthesis" OR "Synthesizer" OR "Synthetic data generation" OR "Synthetic generator") **AND** ("Benchmark" OR "Privacy metric" OR "Anonymity metric" OR "Utility metric" OR "Data quality" OR "Data utility" OR "ML efficacy" OR "Usefulness of data")





SLR: Collected papers over the years

• There is an increase in the number of papers found over the years







SLR: Quality Assessment Checklist

- After an initial filtering using the exclusion criteria, a checklist of questions is established
 - Does the article propose a **new AI model** for tabular data generation?
 - Does the article propose **new attacks** to privacy in generative models?
 - Does the paper propose a model **practical implementation**?
 - Does the model **include** techniques to provide **privacy**?
 - Does the article discuss how to **measure privacy** for tabular generative data models? Does it also include a way to **measure utility**?
- Papers with a minimum score of **3/5** are finally selected:
 - Yes (1 point), partially (0,5 points), no (0 points)





SLR: Reporting

Most contributions are based on GANs



Exponential increase in last years









Agenda

- Introduction
- SLR methodology
- Tabular data generative models
- Conclusions





Privacy-preserving tabular data GAN taxonomy







Differential privacy GANs

Differential privacy: "An algorithm, M, satisfies (ϵ , δ)-differential privacy if for any pair datasets D, D' (which differs from D in only one entry)"

- Most of the papers analyzed fall into this category
 - Approximately half of them use conditional GAN
- Most common scenario is EHR (Electronic Health Records)

• Two of them make use of **AEs** (Autoencoders)

information and security La



t-Closeness GANs

t-closeness: "The distance between the distributions of a sensitive attribute in shared vs original dataset should be no more than a threshold t"

- k-anonymity protects against identity disclosure
 - But it can not prevent **attribute disclosure**...
 - I-diversity is neither necessary nor sufficient to prevent it
- t-closeness is an extension of k-anonymity that solves this problem
- CTGAN generates samples until t-closeness requirements are met
 - Finally, sensitive attributes are encoded







Identifiability guaranteed GANs

- **Identifiability** aims to measure and limit the risk of reidentification
- Synthetic samples should be "different enough" from the original elements
 - ADS-GAN use weighted Euclidean distance
- ε-identifiability: percentage of non-identifiable patients
 - 0-identifiability \rightarrow perfectly non-identifiable dataset
 - 1-identifiability \rightarrow fully identifiable dataset \not







Empirically guaranteed GANs



- Some models do not focus on theoretical privacy guarantees
 - Rather focus on an **empirical** approach to measure privacy
- Instead of proving privacy properties, they measure resistance to known attacks
 - The most common is the Membership Inference Attack (MIA)
- Similar to how car crash tests work...











Agenda

- Introduction
- SLR methodology
- Tabular data generative models
- Conclusions





Conclusions

- We provide an overview of the **state of the art** in privacy-preserving tabular data generation
- The SLR produced 24 papers to **answer two research questions**
 - GAN is the predominant model for synthetic tabular data
 - Most models focus on providing differential privacy guarantees
 - Some models does not theoretically guarantee privacy
- Future work:
 - Design a common framework to **evaluate** the models
 - Identify other generative models that can incorporate privacy guarantees





Privacy-preserving tabular data generation: Systematic Literature Review

Pablo Sanchez-Serrano, Ruben Rios and Isaac Agudo

Network, Information and Security (NICS) Lab, Universidad de Málaga

19th International DPM Workshop on Data Privacy Management, 2024





SLR: PICOC terms and keywords

• We define PICOC terms. They help to define a list of keywords:

Keywords	Synonyms	PICOC
Tabular data	Database, Dataset	Population
Privacy techniques	Data masking, Differential privacy, Masked data, Privacy approach, Privacy methods, Privacy-preserving, k-anonymity, I-diversity, t-closeness	Intervention
Generative model	Data synthesis, Synthesizer, Synthetic data generation, Synthetic generator	Comparison
Benchmark	_	Outcome
Privacy metric	Anonymity metric	Outcome
Utility metric	Data quality, Data utility, ML efficacy, Usefulness of data	Outcome





DE

MAI

SLR: Exclusion criteria

- To refine the search and ensure the inclusion of high-quality and relevant studies, the following exclusion criteria are applied:
 - The paper does not discuss privacy
 - The paper does not discuss AI
 - The paper does not focus on tabular data
 - It is a **survey/review**
 - It is not an article, conference paper, proceeding or journal
 - It has not enough citations
 - Papers published before 2022 must have at least 20 citations
 - Papers from 2022 must have at least 10 citations
 - Papers from 2023 or 2024 must have at least 5 citations
 - It is **not** published in **English**





Tabular data generative models

- Other type of models were found:
 - Autoencoders (AE): DP-SYN
 - Abay, N. C., Zhou, Y., Kantarcioglu, M., Thuraisingham, B., & Sweeney, L. (2019). Privacy preserving synthetic data release using deep learning.
 - Probabilistic Graphical Models (PGMs): PrivMRF and PrivIncr
 - Cai, K., Lei, X., Wei, J., & Xiao, X. (2021). Data synthesis via differentially private markov random fields.
 - Liu, G., Tang, P., Hu, C., Jin, C., Guo, S., Stoyanovich, J., ... & Mühlig, J. (2023). Multi-Dimensional Data Publishing With Local Differential Privacy
 - Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs): Conditional-LSTM
 - Mosquera, L., El Emam, K., Ding, L., Sharma, V., Zhang, X. H., Kababji, S. E., ... & Eurich, D. T. (2023). A method for generating synthetic longitudinal health data
 - Copula-based models: LoCop and DR_LoCop
 - Wang, T., Yang, X., Ren, X., Yu, W., & Yang, S. (2019). Locally private high-dimensional crowdsourced data release based on copula functions

