



# Privacy-Preserving Multi-Party Reconciliation Secure in the Malicious Model

#### 8th ACM International Workshop on Data Privacy Management 2013

**Georg Neugebauer**<sup>1</sup>, Lucas Brutschy<sup>1</sup>, Ulrike Meyer<sup>1</sup> and Susanne Wetzel<sup>2</sup>

UMIC LuFG IT-Security, RWTH Aachen University<sup>1</sup> Department of Computer Science, Stevens Institute of Technology<sup>2</sup>

## 12.09.2013





## Overview



Fair and Privacy-Preserving Reconciliation on Ordered Sets
Protocol for Minimum of Ranks Secure in the Malicious Model









# Fair and Privacy-Preserving Reconciliation



# **Borda Count Voting**

Dotor







Michael Alice	2 1
Candidate	Points
Michael	3
Peter	2
Alice	1
Candidate	Points

Candidate Points

Points
3
2
1

Result	Points	
Michael	8	

# **IT** SECURITY

# DPM 2013



# Fair and Privacy-Preserving Reconciliation on Ordered Sets

## **Definition (MPROS)**

• Secure multi-party computation protocol between *n* parties

• Input:

- Ordered sets  $S_1, ..., S_n$  of size k drawn from a common domain D
- Ranking  $rank_S(x_i) = k i + 1$ ,  $x_i \in S$

• Fairness:

$$f^{MR}(x) = \min \left\{ \operatorname{rank}_{S_1}(x), ..., \operatorname{rank}_{S_n}(x) \right\}$$
$$f^{SR}(x) = \operatorname{rank}_{S_1}(x) + ... + \operatorname{rank}_{S_n}(x)$$

• Output:

$$X = \underset{x \in (S_1 \cap \ldots \cap S_n)}{\operatorname{arg max}} f(x) \qquad t = \underset{x \in (S_1 \cap \ldots \cap S_n)}{\operatorname{max}} f(x)$$



Candidate	Points
Peter	3
Michael	2
Alice	1

MR			SR
Candidate	Points	Candidate	Points
Michael	2	Michael	8
Peter	1	Peter	6
Alice	1	Alice	4

MR			SR
Result	Points	Result	Points
Michael	2	Michael	8

# Preliminaries

### Basics

- Additively homomorphic cryptosystem (Threshold Paillier cryptosystem)
  - Compute the encrypted sum of two plaintexts given only the related ciphertexts
- Privacy-preserving multiset operations (Kissner et al.<sup>1</sup>)
  - Represent multiset  $S_i = \{s_{i,1}, ..., s_{i,k}\}$  as polynomial  $f_i(x) = \prod_{j=1}^k (x s_{i,j})$
- Computation on encrypted polynomials, semi-honest adversary model

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>L. Kissner and D. X. Song: **Privacy-Preserving Set Operations**, In *CRYPTO*, LNCS, 2005

# Preliminaries

#### **Basics**

- Additively homomorphic cryptosystem (Threshold Paillier cryptosystem)
  - Compute the encrypted sum of two plaintexts given only the related ciphertexts
- Privacy-preserving multiset operations (Kissner et al.<sup>1</sup>)
  - Represent multiset  $S_i = \{s_{i,1}, ..., s_{i,k}\}$  as polynomial  $f_i(x) = \prod_{i=1}^k (x s_{i,i})$
- Computation on encrypted polynomials, semi-honest adversary model

### **Privacy-Preserving Set Operations**

- Let  $\phi$ ,  $\gamma$  denote enc. polys, g an unenc. poly, and s, r,  $F_i$  random unenc. polys

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>L. Kissner and D. X. Song: Privacy-Preserving Set Operations, In CRYPTO, LNCS, 2005





# MPROS Secure in the Semi-Honest Model

## **Reminder Definition**

Fairness:

$$f^{MR}(x) = \min \{ \operatorname{rank}_{S_1}(x), ..., \operatorname{rank}_{S_n}(x) \}$$
  $f^{SR}(x) = \operatorname{rank}_{S_1}(x) + ... + \operatorname{rank}_{S_n}(x)$ 

Output:

$$X = \underset{x \in (S_1 \cap \ldots \cap S_n)}{\operatorname{arg max}} f(x) \qquad t = \underset{x \in (S_1 \cap \ldots \cap S_n)}{\operatorname{max}} f(x)$$

## **MPROS Functions**<sup>2</sup>

• Minimum of ranks: • Minimum of ranks: • Sum of ranks:  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \{s_{i1}, \dots, s_{il}\} \\ \text{with round } 1 \le l \le k \text{ and } S_i = \{s_{i1} > \dots > s_{ik}\} \\ Rd_t \Big( renc (S_1) \cup \dots \cup renc (S_n) \Big) \cap (S_1 \cap \dots \cap S_n) \\ \text{with } renc (S_i) = \{s^{rank_i(s)} | s \in S_i\} \text{ and } t = nk - 1, \dots, n - 1$ 

<sup>2</sup>G. Neugebauer, L. Brutschy, U. Meyer, S. Wetzel: **Design and Implementation of Privacy-Preserving Reconciliation Protocols**, 6th ACM International Workshop on Privacy and Anonymity in the Information Society, EDBT/ICDT 2013, Genoa, Italy, March 2013





# How to Achieve Security in the Malicious Model

## **Security Model**

- Semi-honest adversary: insider attacker that tries to infer as much (secret) information as possible, but follows the prescribed actions of the protocol
- Malicious adversary: insider attacker that can almost arbitrarily deviate from the protocol except refusal to participate, manipulation of its own input, and protocol abortion

#### **Observations**

- MPROS is based on privacy-preserving intersections, unions, and reductions of multisets that encode the ordered input sets of the *n* parties
- Privacy-preserving multiset operations are based on homomorphic additions and scalar multiplications
- $\rightarrow$  Use **ZKPK's** to prove correctness of computations involving **encryptions** of
  - secret input sets
  - chosen random polynomials
  - intermediate computation results

# Verifiable Set Operations

## Zero-Knowledge Proofs of Knowledge

- We use ZKPK's based on a threshold version of the Paillier cryptosystem
- Previous work
  - Interactive Proof of Plaintext Knowledge
  - Interactive Proof of Correct Multiplication
  - Proof of a Subset Relation Using Verifiable Shuffles
  - Proof of Correct Threshold Decryption
- Novel work
  - Non-Interactive Proof of Plaintext Knowledge and Correct Multiplication
  - Proof of a Homomorphic Linear Equation

## **Polynomial Operations**

- Proof of Correct Multiplication of Polynomials
- Proof of Arbitrary Linear Expressions of Polynomials

 $\rightarrow$  Enables verifiable set intersection, union, and reduction operations





## Protocol Comparison (MR) - Semi-honest model (SHM) vs Malicious model (MM)

Same setting:  $P_1, ..., P_n$ , ordered sets  $(S_i, <_i)$  chosen from a common domain D, pre-distributed keys, secure channels

1. Input Encryption

SHM Each party  $P_i$  encrypts and broadcasts its highest ranked input  $\phi_{i,1} = E(x - d_{i,1})$ MM Each party  $P_i$ 

- 1. Computes an encrypted shuffle  $(\delta_{i,1}, ..., \delta_{i,k}, ...)$  of the domain D
- 2. Broadcasts the shuffle and a correctness proof  $\Pi_{SHUFFLE,i}$

Each party  $P_i$  for  $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ 

- 1. If  $j \neq i$ , verifies  $\prod_{SHUFFLE, j}$
- 2. Chooses random polynomial  $r_{i,j,1}$  of degree 1
- 3. Computes and commits to  $\rho_{i,j,1} = E_1(r_{i,j,1})$





## Protocol Comparison (MR) - Semi-honest model (SHM) vs Malicious model (MM)

2. Set Intersection (Initially t = k - 1)

SHM Each party  $P_i$ 

- 1. Chooses random polynomials  $r_{i,j}$  of degree k t
- 2. Calculates and broadcasts  $\gamma_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (\phi_{j,k-t} \times_h r_{i,j})$
- 3. Calculates  $\pi = \tilde{\sum}_{l=1}^{n} \gamma_l$

**MM** Each party  $P_i$ 

- 1. Opens the commitment to  $\rho_{i,j,k-t}$
- 2. Computes and broadcasts  $\gamma_i = \left[ \tilde{\sum}_{j=0}^n (\phi_{j,k-t} *_h r_{i,j,k-t}) \right]_r$
- 3. Broadcasts a proof  $\Pi_{\text{INTERSECT},i}$  that  $\gamma_i$  is correctly computed Each party  $P_i$ 
  - 1. For  $j \in \{1, ..., n\} \setminus \{i\}$  verifies  $\prod_{\text{INTERSECT}, j}$
  - 2. Calculates  $\pi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i$





## Protocol Comparison (MR) - Semi-honest model (SHM) vs Malicious model (MM)

2. Set Intersection (Initially t = k - 1)

SHM Each party  $P_i$ 

- 1. Chooses random polynomials  $r_{i,j}$  of degree k t
- 2. Calculates and broadcasts  $\gamma_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (\phi_{j,k-t} \times_h r_{i,j})$
- 3. Calculates  $\pi = \tilde{\sum}_{l=1}^{n} \gamma_l$

**MM** Each party  $P_i$ 

- 1. Opens the commitment to  $\rho_{i,j,k-t}$
- 2. Computes and broadcasts  $\gamma_i = \left[ \tilde{\sum}_{j=0}^n (\phi_{j,k-t} *_h r_{i,j,k-t}) \right]_r$
- 3. Broadcasts a proof  $\Pi_{\text{INTERSECT},i}$  that  $\gamma_i$  is correctly computed Each party  $P_i$ 
  - 1. For  $j \in \{1, ..., n\} \setminus \{i\}$  verifies  $\prod_{\text{INTERSECT}, j}$
  - 2. Calculates  $\pi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i$
- 3. Decryption
  - SHM All parties together perform a threshold decryption of  $\pi$
  - MM All parties perform a malicious model threshold decryption of  $\pi$



# **Protocol Analysis**

#### Correctness

- We compute the same function as the semi-honest variants
- Assuming that the ZKPK's are difficult to forge, each party is forced to perform the correct computations
- $\rightarrow$  Correctness results in the semi-honest model also apply to our malicious model variant

## **Security / Privacy**

- All parties only learn the optimal solution and the minimum of ranks value
- Security proof based on the simulation paradigm given in our paper





# Results in Theory

Problem	Model	Comp./Comm. Complexity	
MPROS <sup>MR</sup>	Semi-honest, standard model	$O\left(k^3\cdot n\cdot b^3 ight) \ O\left(k^2\cdot n\cdot b ight)$	
	Malicious, random oracle model	$O\left(\left( D +k^3\cdot n\right)\cdot n\cdot b^3\right)$ $O\left(\left( D +k^3\cdot n\right)\cdot n\cdot b\right)$	
MPROS <sup>SR</sup>	Semi-honest, standard model	$O\left(k^6\cdot n^4\cdot b^3 ight) \ O\left(k^3\cdot n^3\cdot b ight)$	
	Malicious, random oracle model	$ \begin{array}{c} O\left(\left( D +k^5\cdot n^4\right)\cdot k\cdot n\cdot b^3\right) \\ O\left(\left( D +k^5\cdot n^4\right)\cdot k\cdot n\cdot b\right) \end{array} \end{array} $	

- *n* parties, *k* input elements, modulus with *b* bits
- Computation overhead: encryption, decryption and homomorphic operations
- Communication overhead: number of ciphertexts transmitted

## Remarks

• All solutions polynomial-time bounded with respect to the number of parties *n* and inputs *k* 





## **Results in Practice**



# Conclusion

## Contributions

- New protocols for privacy-preserving reconciliation on ordered sets secure in the malicious model
- New ZKPK's to enable verifiable set operations
- First practical implementation and evaluation of MPROS protocols secure in the malicious model

#### **Future research**

- Development of a manifold library for privacy-preserving applications<sup>3</sup>
- Development of end-user (mobile) applications for privacy-preserving reconciliation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>G. Neugebauer, U. Meyer: **SMC-MuSe: A Framework for Secure Multi-Party Computation on MultiSets**, RWTH Aachen University, Technical Report, AIB-2012-16, December 2012.





### Thank you for your attention!

**Questions?** 

